

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,911	07/03/2003		Philip E. Wolfson	19641.06	5582
37833	7590	11/02/2004		EXAM	INER
LITMAN LA	W OFFICE	ES, LTD.		MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH	LDT, SUSAN BETH
P.O. BOX 15035 CRYSTAL CITY STATION ARLINGTON, VA 22215			ON	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	.1, VA 22213			1654	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,			. r				
· Office Astion Survey	10/611,911	WOLFSON, PHILIF	′ E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH.	y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely S from the mailing date of this co DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	uly 2003.						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	en Lin						
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d). ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer  application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/611,911

Art Unit: 1654

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Abstract |

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because misspelling and difficult to read because of "bleeding" of the text. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification is difficult to read with the amount of typographical or spelling errors and the "bleeding" of the text. For example, page 3, line 1; page 4, lines 1,6, 10-11, 19, 23-24 and page 5, lines 1, 4, 21 and so on throughout the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

The claim is objected to because Applicant has not numbered the claim. Even though the disclosure contains only one claim it must be numbered accordingly.

The claim is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant should consider adding more dependent claims from the original claim to better understand what the Applicant is disclosing. Appropriate correction is required.

The claim is objected to because it is replete with language and spelling errors. In addition, the claim is difficult to read because of the "bleeding" of the text.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "potentially" is vague and unclear in its meaning. It either does or does not contain anabasine. Clarification is needed.

The recitation "a trace am unt" (line 8) is vague and is not described in the specification. Clarification is needed.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,911

Art Unit: 1654

With regard to the claim, the phrase "and the like" (lines 14-15) renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "and the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 1, line 11, the phrase "for example" ("e.g.") renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bonte et al. (US 5,770,223).

Bonte et al. discloses an extracting process using Medicago sativa (alfalfa) with solvents such as methanol and ethanol, for example (column 2, lines 52-67 and column 3, lines1-3). In addition, Bonte et al. also discloses the preparation of liposomes as a delivery vehicle for Medicago sativa sapogenins made from soy lecithin. Bonte et al. specifically taught that the liposomes were, on average, 125.2 2.3 nm which is considered a micro-emulsion absent any clear definition of "micro-emulsion" in the instant specification (see Example 7, columns 7-8).

## A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wolfson et al. (US 6,534,527).

The applied reference has a common invention with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

An herbal composition to relieve cravings and withdrawal symptoms in nicotine dependent person who is abstaining or reducing the nicotine intake is claimed, for example.

Wolfson et al. expressly teach using an herb or herbal extract for use to abstain or withdrawal from nicotine which contains the ingredients as listed in claim 1 (see claims 1-4).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,911

Art Unit: 1654

Summary

No claim is allowed.

# Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

PATRICIALETH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Salucia Luth